

<b>Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary</b>	<b>Application No.</b> 10/555,262	<b>Applicant(s)</b> DUFOUR ET AL.	
	<b>Examiner</b> Sherali Ishrat	<b>Art Unit</b> 2624	

  

**All Participants:**

(1) Sherali Ishrat.

(2) Mr.Lohse, Timothy.

**Date of Interview:** 20 April 2011

**Type of Interview:**  
☒ Telephonic  
☐ Video Conference  
☐ Personal (Copy given to: ☐ Applicant    ☐ Applicant's representative)

Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: ☐ Yes    ☒ No  
 If Yes, provide a brief description: .

**Status of Application:** \_\_\_\_\_

(3) \_\_\_\_\_.

(4) \_\_\_\_\_.

**Time:** \_\_\_\_\_

  

**Part I.**

Rejection(s) discussed:  
NA

Claims discussed:  
1-4, 6-12

Prior art documents discussed:  
Shen et al. (US 6,043,846)

  

**Part II.**

SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED:

*Examiner informed Applicant's Attorney that based on the amendments/arguments objections and rejections under 35 USC 112 first and second paragraphs and also under 35 USC 101 are withdrawn. Independent claims 1 and 4 are allowable over prior art of record because independent claims 1 and 4 recite unique and distinct limitations "additional syntactic information is syntactic element placed at the selected level in coded bitstream and its meaning is specific for each present channel". The prior art of record disclose choosing type of temporal predictions and placing syntactic information in coded bitstream (US 6043846), however fail to anticipate or render the above limitations obvious singularly or in combination. However independent claim 6 fails to recite aabove allowable and therefore can be rejected usinf reference US 6043846 as the claims are rejected in copending application 10/551026. Applicant Attorney agreed to cancel independ claim 6 and its dependent claim by an examiner's amendment*

  

**Part III.**

☒ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability.

☐ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above.

  
  

/Sherali Ishrat/  
Primary Examiner, Art Unit 2624

(Applicant/Applicant's Representative Signature – if appropriate)